

Roger Weinman  
142-10 Hoover Ave.,  
Jamaica, NY 11435

8/21/92

Dear Roger,

Thanks for the printouts on the ABA mischigas.

Of most interest to me is the "scientific" work by Failure Associates. How scientific when they begin with unproven presumptions that can be proven wrong and had been only they are ignorant of it?

I've used a highlighted of call later attention to the silliest stuff.

Of which they are so proud!

That there was no comment by Joe Ball does not have to mean he made none. It can be that what he said was not as attractive to reporters.

Kenner's firm was the Chicago end from something I read a while back.

It is not only that these scientific experts do not identify the frame of the Xap. film represented by the fatal shot, they made a big deal out of the notion of Connally's lapel coinciding with the first alleged JFK shot. Which is not shown in that film. And if they mean 210, they are taking the position that anything the Commission said is beyond question. With that position why bother with this great "science?" And how does it "prove" anything?

I don't want to attract any attention to it for a number of reasons but I'm making for me today what is good progress on a book based on the JAMA atrocity. Wrono has a high opinion of the rough drafts of the chapters he has been retyping for me on his computer.

I see now that you said they did not say when the non-fatal shot was. I remembered incorrectly. It is the non-fatal I refer to above.

Hope all is going well with you.

By the way, I think my old paper, The Wilmington Morning News, is doing a story ~~maxim~~ for the national wire based on a regional story, AP, on me of a month or so ago. (I've the wire copy but no printed story. So I don't know whether it was used anywhere.) When I worked for the paper it was Pierre Dupont's. Now Gannett. If it is on the wire can you without much trouble learn what papers, if any, carried it? The reporter, Ed Kenney, spoke to me a week ago and this week he spoke to Wrono and McKnight. They now have a Sunday edition so I suppose it might be for Sunday. If it is, in time I'll get a copy from my sister or a friend.

\* means probably for Delaware/Mid wife.

This recent interest in which I took no initiatives seems to come from a Balt. Sun Sunday magazine piece I also did not initiate.

If we have any further correspondence about this bar nonsense, I have a small ABA file and I'm putting this there.

Our best,

